

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/981,624	DEBLING, ANTHONY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher S. McCarthy	2113	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Christopher S. McCarthy. (3) _____.
- (2) James Morris, Reg.#34,681. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 08 March 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4.

Identification of prior art discussed: Swoboda US2002/0059541.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative and the examiner discussed the difference between the on-chip emulator of the present invention and the on-chip emulator of Swoboda. The representative expressed that Swoboda's emulator is not contained entirely on the chip itself. The examiner expressed that Swoboda teaches emulator on the chip as well as off the chip. The examiner further expressed that since Swoboda does have at least part of the emulator on-chip that it does fulfill the claim language as currently written..